UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/721,349	11/26/2003	Massimo Canali	Q78653	6142
72875 SUGHRUE MI	7590 11/16/200' ON, PLLC		EXAMINER	
2100 Pennsylva	nia Avenue, N.W.		DAM, KIM LYNN	
Washington, DC 20037			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2179	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/16/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO@sughrue.com kghyndman@sughrue.com USPatDocketing@sughrue.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	•	
-	10/721,349	CANALI ET AL.		
Examiner		Art Unit		
	Kim-Lynn Dam	2179		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
THE REPLY FILED 15 October 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
I. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the sam e day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of	
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which	
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the	
following time periods:	
a) Mr The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In revert however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	o
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have	Э
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the ped of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37	
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) astset f(b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date	
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
B. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL -324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112 1 st and 2 nd rejections.	
8. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling	
the non-allowable claim(s).	
7. 🔯 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🔯 will be entered and an explanation of	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 5-10</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
B. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Noti ce of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary	
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
D. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be	
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a	
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER /	
11. 🔯 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
See Continuation Sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	
13. 🔲 Other:	
// BA HUYNH /	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections of claims 1 and 10 under 35 USC 112 are withdrawin in view of Applicant's amendment. Regarding claim 10, the "ZIC web -oriented customizable GUI" is deemed to be inherent in Soares' GUI because Sorase' GUI browser is operating with an XML language. Note that Applicatnt has now acknowledged as such (Remarks, Page 5, 3rd paragraph.

Applicant's arguments filed 10/15/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant merely represented the same argument points from amendment filed on 4/19/07, after non -final action wherein all issues have been addressed under "Response to Arguments" pages 8-11 of previous Final action maled 7/13/07. It should be noted that Applicant has not pointed out as to why and/or how examiner's postion is improper.

Regarding Claim 2, Applicant argume nts stop short of what section 5 infact discloses. Section 5 continues to disclose that "... using a navigation browser through the Internet, such as Netscape and Internet Explorer. This computer still remained an information collection agent; from time to time they collected and made available the information in the COLLECT file. Once the MIB.XML file is created, it is necessary to have an application to manipulate this file. It used...Explorer 5.5...Netscape browser 6.0..." (known MIB browsers - emphasis added)